Journal of Chromatography, 189 (1980) 3-11 © Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam — Printed in The Netherlands

#### CHROM. 12,442

# **NEW RESULTS WITH A FLOW-IMPEDANCE BRIDGE DETECTOR**

## G. H. W. WILLEMS

Department of Physics, Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven (The Netherlands)

(Received August 10th, 1979)

### SUMMARY

A new version of a pneumatic analogue of the Wheatstone bridge as a gas chromatographic detector is described. The detector response is defined in terms of the viscosity difference between the carrier gas and the mixture of carrier gas and solute, and the volume of this gas mixture. A relationship is derived between the measured surface area and the amount of pure solute injected.

### INTRODUCTION

In a previous paper<sup>1</sup> we reported the results of some preliminary experiments with a new version of a flow-impedance bridge detector for gas chromatography  $(GC)^{2,3}$ . This detector is based on the dependence of the flow resistance of a capillary on the nature of the gas. Four equal capillaries are circuited in a Wheatstone-like bridge. A flow diagram of the detector is shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1. Flow diagram of the detector.

 $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $R_3$  and  $R_4$  are the capillaries and  $P_{in}$  and  $P_{out}$  are the pressures at the inlet and outlet of the detector, respectively. TDL represents the time delay line and DMM the differential manometer that measures the difference between the pressures  $P_A$  and  $P_B$ . A single injection of a solute-carrier gas mixture into the flow of carrier gas through the detector results in four peaks in the output. These four peaks correspond to the passage at different times of the gas mixture through the capillaries. This shift is obtained with the time delay line. Using the experimental data collected with this prototype, a new apparatus has been developed, in the response of which

only the first of the four peaks remains. This was achieved by increasing all over the detector the effects of mixing and diffusion, except for the connection between the detector inlet and the capillary  $R_1$ , which is made smooth in order to maintain a sharp first peak.

In this paper we describe the properties of the detector and present some results that have been obtained.

### DETECTOR RESPONSE

We shall describe the response of the detector upon a single injection of a solute-carrier gas mixture, resulting in a variation of the viscosity at the inlet of the detector, as shown in Fig. 2. The time interval  $t_{m}$  in Fig. 2 satisfies the equation

$$t_{m} = \frac{V_{m}}{\Phi_{D}} \tag{1}$$

where  $V_{n}$  represents the volume of the gas mixture injected and  $\Phi_{D}$  the flow-rate of



Fig. 2. Viscosity variation at the inlet of the detector as a function of time.

carrier gas through the detector. Throughout we shall restrict the discussion to those situations where four restrictions hold:

(i) 
$$P_{\rm in} - P_{\rm cut} \ll P_0$$

with

$$P_{g} = \frac{P_{ia} + P_{out}}{2}$$

(ii)  $\eta_{\pm} - \eta_c = \eta_d \ll \eta_c$ 

where  $\eta_{m}$  and  $\eta_{c}$  are the viscosities of the gas mixture and carrier gas, respectively; (iii) the time interval  $t_{cap}$  needed for the front of the gas mixture to pass a capillary is small compared with all time intervals used in the calculations; and

(iv) the Poiseuille equation can be used in the following way:

$$\Phi_{cap} = \frac{a}{\eta} \cdot P_{cap} \tag{2}$$

with  $a = \pi r^4/_{sl}$ , where  $\Phi_{cap}$  is the flow through and  $P_{cap}$  the pressure drop across a capillary of radius r and length *I*.

In the calculations of the response we require the specifications of the differential manometer. As the two compartments of the manometer are separated by a flexible membrane, the two volumes of the compartments will be dependent on the pressure difference between them. The volumes of compartments A and B can be expressed by the equations

$$V_{\rm A} = V_0 + \beta (P_{\rm A} - P_{\rm B}) \tag{3a}$$

$$V_{\rm B} = V_0 - \beta (P_{\rm A} - P_{\rm B}) \tag{3b}$$

where  $\beta$  is the compliance of the membrane of the manometer ( $\beta = \partial V/\partial P$ ).  $V_0$  includes those volumes which are directly connected to the compartments.

The pressure difference,  $P_A - P_B$ , caused by the injection can be expressed by

$$P_{\mathbf{A}} - P_{\mathbf{B}} = -\frac{\eta_{d}}{\eta_{c}} \cdot \frac{P_{\mathrm{in}} - P_{\mathrm{out}}}{4} \cdot (1 - \mathrm{e}^{-t/\tau})$$

$$\tag{4}$$

with

$$\tau = \frac{\eta_c V_0}{2aP_0} \left( 1 + \frac{2\beta P_0}{V_0} \right)$$

where t = 0 is the time when the front of the gas mixture arrives at the capillary R<sub>1</sub>. For  $t > t_m$  the pressure difference  $P_A - P_B$  decreases exponentially to zero. Assuming  $t_m > \tau$  we can describe the detector response by (see Fig. 3)

$$P_{\mathbf{A}} - P_{\mathbf{B}} = -\frac{\eta_d}{\eta_c} \cdot \frac{P_{\mathrm{in}} - P_{\mathrm{out}}}{4} \cdot (1 - \mathrm{e}^{-t/\mathrm{T}}) \qquad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant t_{\mathrm{m}} \quad (5a)$$

$$P_{\mathbf{A}} - P_{\mathbf{B}} = -\frac{\eta_d}{\eta_c} \cdot \frac{P_{\mathrm{in}} - P_{\mathrm{out}}}{4} \cdot e^{-(t - t_{\mathrm{m}})/T} \qquad t > t_{\mathrm{m}} \qquad (5b)$$



Fig. 3. Detector response on an injection, assuming  $t_{\pi} > r$ .

Another situation where we can easily calculate the detector response is characterized by  $l_{R} \ll \tau$ . We can then use the linear approximation of eqn. 5a, giving (see Fig. 4)

$$P_{\mathbf{A}} - P_{\mathbf{B}} = -\frac{\eta_{\ell}}{\eta_{c}} \cdot \frac{P_{\mathrm{in}} - P_{\mathrm{out}}}{4} \cdot \frac{t}{\tau} \qquad \qquad 0 \leq t \leq t_{\mathrm{rr}} \quad (6a)$$

$$P_{\mathbf{A}} - P_{\mathbf{B}} = -\frac{\eta_{d}}{\eta_{c}} \cdot \frac{P_{\mathrm{in}} - P_{\mathrm{out}}}{4} \cdot \frac{t_{\mathbf{m}}}{\tau} \cdot e^{-(t-t_{\mathbf{m}})/\tau} \qquad t \ge t_{\mathbf{m}} \qquad (6b)$$



Fig. 4. Detector response on an injection, assuming  $r_{\rm m} \ll \tau$ .

Considering Fig. 3, it will be of interest to calculate the surface area, S, of the peak. With the additional condition  $t_m \gg \tau$  we shall relate this surface area to the volume,  $V_s$ , of pure solute (viscosity  $\eta_s$ ) in the injected gas mixture. We further assume a linear relationship between  $\eta_d$  and the concentration of solute in the carrier gas:

$$\eta_{a}V_{m} = (\eta_{m} - \eta_{c})V_{m} = (\eta_{s} - \eta_{c})V_{s}$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

For the surface area, S, we obtain

$$S = \int_{0}^{\infty} (P_{\rm A} - P_{\rm B}) dt = (P_{\rm A} - P_{\rm B}) t_{\rm m} = -\frac{\eta_{\rm d}}{\eta_{\rm c}} \cdot \frac{P_{\rm in} - P_{\rm out}}{4} \cdot t_{\rm m}$$
(8)

Making use of eqns. 1, 2 and 7, we have

$$S = -\frac{\eta_d V_{m}}{4a} = -\frac{\eta_s - \eta_c}{4a} \cdot V_s$$
<sup>(9)</sup>

Eqn. 9 indicates that the detector can be used for quantitative purposes. If we know the values of the viscosities  $\eta_s$  of the pure solute and  $\eta_c$  of the carrier gas at pressure  $P_0$ , the measured value of the surface area, S, leads to the volume,  $V_s$ , that the pure solute will have at the same pressure. It should be noticed that with a non-linear relationship between the composition and viscosity of the gas mixture, corrections are necessary.

To ascertain the detection limit,  $\Delta V_s$ , of the pure solute, we start with the uncertainty,  $\Delta S_s$ , of the surface area caused by the manometer noise,  $\Delta P$ :

$$\Delta S = t_{\rm m} \Delta P \tag{10}$$

Using eqn. 9 and taking the signal-to-noise ratio as S/N = 1, we obtain

$$\Delta V_{s} = \frac{4\alpha}{\eta_{s} - \eta_{c}} \cdot t_{m} \Delta P \tag{11}$$

For the purpose of estimation, we use  $t_m = \tau$  and obtain

$$\Delta V_{s} = \frac{2\eta_{c}}{\eta_{s} - \eta_{c}} \left(1 + \frac{2\beta P_{0}}{V_{0}}\right) V_{0} \cdot \frac{\Delta P}{P_{0}}$$
(12)

The same result can be obtained by using eqn. 6a with  $t = t_{\pm}$  and S/N = 1.

### FLOW-IMPEDANCE BRIDGE DETECTOR

#### EXPERIMENTAL

The capillaries of the bridge are made of stainless steel with an I.D. of 0.038 cm and a length of 10.75 cm, leading to  $a = (4.76 \pm 0.04)$  10<sup>-9</sup> cm<sup>3</sup>. The time delay line consists of a nylon tube with an I.D. of 0.4 cm and a length of 100 cm. This type of tube is also used to connect R<sub>1</sub> with R<sub>2</sub> and R<sub>3</sub> with R<sub>6</sub>, both connections having a length of 50 cm. As the volume of a manometer compartment is about 1 cm<sup>3</sup>, we have  $V_0 = 7.3$  cm<sup>3</sup>. For the compliance of the manometer membrane we use  $\beta = 1.1$  10<sup>-2</sup> cm<sup>3</sup>/torr.  $P_{out}$  is atmospheric pressure and  $P_{in} - P_{out}$  has a maximum value of 1 torr, so  $P_0 = 760$  torr. The values of the time constant,  $\tau$ , of the detector are 0.44 and 0.39 sec with nitrogen and helium, respectively, as the carrier gas. Three different systems are used for injecting the solute into the flow of carrier gas (see Fig. 5):

(i) syringe,  $V_{iaj} \leq 50 \ \mu l$  (Fig. 5a);

(ii) injection value, 230  $\mu l \leq V_{toop} \leq 1120 \ \mu l$  (Fig. 5b);

(iii) syringe in combination w th a splitter (Fig. 5c); division factor  $K = \Phi_D / (\Phi_D + \Phi_R)$ .

All of the experiments were performed at room temperature.



Fig. 5. Injection systems used in the experiments.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

supply

Experiments were performed with nitrogen as carrier gas and oxygen as solute. This combination of gases was chosen because of the fairly linear relationship between the concentration of oxygen in nitrogen and the viscosity of the mixture<sup>4</sup>. Injection systems (i) and (ii) were used and directly coupled to the detector (see Fig. 6a). In Fig. 7, the measured values of the surface area under the peak are



Fig. 6. Schematic illustration of the experimental arrangement.

shown as a function of the volume of oxygen injected. The volume  $V_v$  in Fig. 7b represents the dead space of the injection valve. The slope of the lines in Fig. 7 is

$$\left(\frac{dS}{dV_s}\right)_{exp} = -(1.11 \pm 0.01) \cdot 10^{-3} \operatorname{torr} \cdot \operatorname{sec/ul}$$
 (13)

Calculating this slope with eqn. 9 we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}V_{\mathrm{s}}}\right)_{\mathrm{th}} = -\frac{\eta_{\mathrm{s}} - \eta_{\mathrm{c}}}{4\alpha} \tag{14}$$

Substitution of  $\eta_s = \eta_{0_2} = (1.528 \pm 0.006) \cdot 10^{-7}$  torr sec and  $\eta_c = \eta_{N_2} = (1.322 \pm 0.006) \cdot 10^{-7}$  torr sec leads to

$$\left(\frac{dS}{dV_s}\right)_{th} = -(1.08 \pm 0.07) \cdot 10^{-3} \operatorname{torr} \cdot \operatorname{sec}/\mu l$$
 (15)

The agreement with the experimental value is good.

To test the detector in combination with a gas chromatographic column, the arrangement shown in Fig. 6b was applied. The splitter in this arrangement enables



Fig. 7. Plots of the measured surface area of oxygen against the volume of oxygen injected, with nitrogen as carrier gas,  $\Phi_p = 10^{-2}$  ml/sec.

us to inject the column solute volumes,  $V_s$ , that are much smaller than the syringe volumes,  $V_{iai}$ :

$$V_{\rm s} = K V_{\rm inj} \tag{16}$$

where  $K \approx 10^{-2}$ .

Helium was used as the carrier gas and natural gas as the solute and the GC column (50 m  $\times$  0.02 cm I.D.) contained squalane. As this work was not concerned with optimization of the GC column but rather the exploitation of the detection principle, optimal conditions from the viewpoint of column efficiency were not sought.



Fig. 8. Plot of surface area of natural gas against the volume injected. Conditions: helium as carrier gas;  $K = 7.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ ;  $\Phi_B = 10^{-2}$  ml/sec.

In Fig. 8 the measured values of the surface area are plotted against the volumes of natural gas injected. The fact that the line in Fig. 8 does not pass through the origin is due to the dead space in the syringe, which has to be taken into account because of the excess pressure (2.5 atm) in the splitter. The slope of the line through the measured points satisfies the equation

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}V_{\mathrm{inj}}}\right)_{\mathrm{exp}} = (3.93 \pm 0.05) \cdot 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{torr} \cdot \mathrm{sec}/\mu\mathrm{l} \tag{17}$$

According to eqns. 9 and 16, this slope is given by

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}V_{\mathrm{inj}}}\right)_{\mathrm{th}} = -\frac{\eta_s - \eta_c}{4\alpha} \cdot K \tag{18}$$

where K = 7.4 10<sup>-3</sup>. To be able to compare this with the experimental value, we need to know the value of  $\eta_s - \eta_c$ . Because of the non-linear relationship between the viscosity and the concentration of natural gas in helium, we cannot use the difference between the viscosities of the pure gases. As the concentrations of natural gas that are found in the detector are below 2%, we use the linear extrapolation of the low concentration data, resulting in  $\eta_s - \eta_c = -(1.06 \pm 0.03) \cdot 10^{-7}$  torr-sec. Substitution of this value in eqn. 18 leads to

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}V_{\mathrm{inf}}}\right)_{\mathrm{th}} = (4.1 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^{-5} \operatorname{torr} \cdot \sec/\mu \mathrm{l}$$
(19)

This is in good agreement with the experimental value.

To estimate the experimental detection limit,  $\Delta V_{r}$ , the measured response from an injection of 10  $\mu$ l of natural gas in helium is shown in Fig. 9, which corresponds with the experiment at the lowest value of  $V_{inj}$  as shown in Fig. 8. From Fig. 9 we can estimate the noise,  $\Delta P$ , of the manometer to be 10<sup>-5</sup> torr. Owing



Fig. 9. Detector response on injection of 10  $\mu$ l of natural gas. Conditions: helium as carrier gas;  $K = 7.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ ;  $\Phi_p = 10^{-2}$  ml/sec.

to the splitter, only 0.08  $\mu$ l of the injected volume of 10  $\mu$ l reaches the detector. It can be seen in Fig. 9 that the peak is well above the noise level and that a gain of one order of magnitude is possible, giving  $(\Delta V_s)_{exp} = 8 \cdot 10^{-3} \mu$ l.

This value is also found when calculating  $\Delta V_s$  with eqn. 11 and substitution of  $t_m = 5$  sec. According to eqn. 11, smaller values of  $\Delta V_s$  should be possible when using smaller values of  $t_m$ . The limit of this gain is reached as  $t_m = \tau$  and satisfies, according to eqn. 12,  $(V_s)_{th} = 9 \cdot 10^{-4} \mu l$ .

The chromatogram shown in Fig. 10 was obtained by injecting 60  $\mu$ l of a mixture containing air and hydrocarbons into a flow of helium, and using the arrangement shown in Fig. 6b.



Fig. 10. Chromatogram obtained by injecting 60  $\mu$ l of a mixture containing air and hydrocarbons. Conditions: helium as carrier gas;  $K = 10^{-2}$ ;  $\Phi_D = 10^{-2}$  ml/sec. Peaks: 1 = air; 2 = methane; 3 = ethane; 4 = propane; 5 = butane.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Dr. J. Janák and Dr. J. Novák of the Institute of Analytical Chemistry, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Brno, for the useful discussions.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 G. H. W. Willems, J. Chromatogr., 138 (1977) 216.
- 2 J. Janák and J. Novák, in H. G. Struppe and H. P. Angelé (Editors), Gas Chromatographie 1963, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1965, p. 99.
- 3 J. Novák and J. Janák, J. Chromatogr., 138 (1977) 1.
- 4 Y. S. Touloukian, S. C. Saxena and P. Hestermans, Thermophysical Properties of Matter, Vol. 11, Plenum Press, New York, 1975.